SERVER DATA SHEET

NAME: Sun Fire X4100
BORN: September 12, 2005
HOMETOWN: Menlo Park, CA

MEASUREMENTS: 1.69" H x 16.75" W x 24.88" D (I'm petite and powerful, with plenty of room for cables).

HOME: Naughtily built, multiple processors simply let data centers run.
RUNS THE MOOD: Energy-saving, high-performance technology that runs quietly.

MY FAVORITE BODY PART: Definitively my dual-core AMD Opteron processor. It gives me the computational power to work efficiently (you know), lower windows and save on record-breaking speeds.

THE BEAT ME: I play quite the reputation for being quiet, not to brag, but I'm over 70% faster than the competing Intel server!

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Let's just say I know what I'm doing in the data center. Performance test's prove I can take on twice the work with half the servers, not bad for a server starting at $1,995.

MY IDEA OF A GOOD TIME: Working my dual-processor magic to provide 56% power savings over Xeon.

WORDS TO LIVE BY: Silicon, not silicone.

My active lifestyle helps me really appreciate nature. That's why I'm into conserving energy.

I'm not afraid to show off the goods and prove that beauty is more than satin skin deep.

© Sun Microsystems, Inc. All rights reserved. All logos and trademarks are property of their respective owners. 1The comparison is based on SPECfp_rate2000 benchmark. SPEC and the benchmark name SPECfp are registered trademarks of the Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation. Competitive benchmark results reflect data published as of 08/23/05. For the latest benchmark results, visit http://www.spec.org/. The Sun Fire X4100 server (2x AMD Opteron processors Model 254, Solaris 10): SPECfp_rate2000 - 53.8. The Dell PowerEdge 1850 (2x Intel Xeon 3.6 GHz, MS Windows): SPECfp_rate2000 - 31.4. Sun's results were submitted to SPEC. 2It takes 60% less power to drive one Dual-Core AMD Opteron processor than the two Intel Xeon MP processors required to provide the same amount of CPU core resources. Report available and validated by several engineering specialists. Report can be published if required.
MEASUREMENTS:
1.69" H x 16.75" W x 24.88" D (I’m petite and powerful, with plenty of room for cables.)

I LOVE:
Naughty ROI talk, multiple platforms, dimly lit data centers.

RUINS THE MOOD:
Energy hogs, high-maintenance technology, big and nasty servers.

MY FAVORITE BODY PART:
Definitely my Dual-Core AMD Opteron TM processor.

It gives me the uncontrollable desire to run Solaris TM (my fave), Linux, Windows and Java TM at record-breaking speeds.

THE DIRT ON ME:
I have quite the reputation for being fast. Not to brag, but I’m over 70% faster than the competing Xeon server.1

ACCOMPLISHMENTS:
Let’s just say I know what I’m doing in the data center. Performance tests prove I can take on twice the work with half the servers. Not bad for a server starting at $2,195.

MY IDEA OF A GOOD TIME:
Working my dual-processor magic to provide 56% power savings over Xeon.2

AMBITIONS:
I’d like to eliminate boundaries and create a better world where everyone can truly collaborate. Oh, and swim with dolphins.

WORDS TO LIVE BY:
Silicon, not silicone.

My active lifestyle helps me really appreciate nature. That’s why I’m into conserving energy.

I’m not afraid to show off the goods and prove that beauty is more than satin aluminum skin deep.

©Sun Microsystems, Inc. All rights reserved. All logos and trademarks are property of their respective owners. 1The comparison is based on SPECfp_rate2000 benchmark. SPEC and the benchmark name SPECfp are registered trademarks of the Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation. Competitive benchmark results reflect data published as of 08/23/05. For the latest benchmark results, visit http://www.spec.org/. The Sun Fire X4100 server (2xAMD Opteron processors Model 254, Solaris 10): SPECfp_rate2000 - 53.8. The Dell PowerEdge 1850 (2xIntel Xeon 3.6 GHz, MS Windows): SPECfp_rate2000 - 31.4. Sun’s results were submitted to SPEC.

2It takes 60% less power to drive one Dual-Core AMD Opteron processor than the two Intel Xeon MP processors required to provide the same amount of CPU core resources. Report available and validated by several engineering specialists. Report can be published if required.
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